```
EILEEN M. DECKER
    United States Attorney
    LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    JOSEPH O. JOHNS (Cal. Bar No. 144524)
    Chief, Environmental & Community Safety Crimes Section
 4
         1300 United States Courthouse
 5
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-4536
 6
         Facsimile: (213) 534-4300
         E-mail:
                    joseph.johns@usdoj.gov
 7
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 9
10
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
                                       No. CR 10-925-DDP
13
                                       No. CR 08-975-DDP
             Plaintiff,
14
                                       GOVERNMENT'S CONSOLIDATED
                                       SENTENCING POSITIONS FOR
                   V.
                                       DEFENDANTS JONATHAN AND CAROLYN
15
    JONATHAN MARKELL, and
                                       MARKELL
    CAROLYN MARKELL,
16
                                       Hearing Date: December 14, 2015
             Defendants.
                                       Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.
17
                                                      Courtroom of the
                                       Location:
                                                      Hon. Dean D.
18
                                                      Pregerson
19
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
20
    of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of
21
22
```

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of California and Assistant United States Attorneys Joseph O. Johns and Sharon McCaslin, hereby files its Consolidated Sentencing Positions for defendants JONATHAN and CAROLYN MARKELL. This pleading consolidates the government's sentencing positions for the single-defendant antiquities smuggling case, United States v. Jonathan Markell, et al. (Case No. CR-08-975-DDP), with the two-defendant tax

23

24

25

26

27

evasion conspiracy case, United States v. Jonathan and Carolyn 1 Markell (Case No. CR-10-925-DDP). 2 This pleading is based upon the attached memorandum of points 3 and authorities, the files and records in this case, along with such 4 further evidence and argument as the Court may permit or require 5 during the sentencing hearing. 6 Dated: December 2, 2015 Respectfully submitted, 7 EILEEN M. DECKER 8 United States Attorney 9 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON Assistant United States Attorney 10 Chief, Criminal Division 11 /s/Joseph O. Johns 12 JOSEPH O. JOHNS Assistant United States Attorney 13 Chief, Environmental & Community Safety Crimes Section 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff 15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

TABLE OF CONTENTS 1 PAGES 2 3 TABLE OF AUTHORITIESiii 5 I. 6 II. 7 Defendant Carolyn Markell 2 A. 8 В. Defendant Jonathan Markell4 9 Case Number CR-10-925-DDP 4 1. Case Number CR-08-975-DDP 4 10 2. 11 III. Factual Background 4 12 TV. The False Charitable Deduction/Tax Evasion Scheme 8 13 The Guidelines Calculation for Defendant A. Carolyn Markell 8 14 18 U.S.C. § 3553 Sentencing Factors for Defendant В. 15 16 The Guidelines Calculation for Defendant C. Jonathan Markell10 17 18 U.S.C. § 3553 Sentencing Factors for D. Jonathan Markell11 18 19 V. 20 A. The Guidelines Calculation for Defendant Jonathan Markell11 21 Defendant should not receive a two level increase to 1. 22 his offense level for a pattern of misconduct 23 2. Multiple counts analysis - defendant should not 24 receive a one level increase for the tax evasion case 25 18 U.S.C. § 3553 Sentencing Factors for В. 26 27 1. The nature and circumstances of the offense 14 28

2. The need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the The need for the sentence imposed to afford adequate 3. VI.

Case 2:10-cr-00925-DDP Document 67 Filed 12/03/15 Page 4 of 52 Page ID #:355

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES PAGES Federal Cases United States v. Jonathan and Carolyn Markell United States v. Jonathan Markell and Robert Olson (Case No. CR-08-975-DDP)1 Federal Statutes Other Authorities U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1511 U.S.S.G. § 2T2.1 9 U.S.S.G. § 2T4.1 9 iii

Case 2:10-cr-00925-DDP Document 67 Filed 12/03/15 Page 5 of 52 Page ID #:356

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This consolidated sentencing position combines the government's sentencing positions for two separate, but related cases. case, United States v. Jonathan Markell and Robert Olson (Case No. CR-08-975-DDP), involves allegations that defendant Jonathan Markell conspired with co-defendant Robert Olson to import Burmese and Khmer archeological resources ("antiquities") into the United States from Southeast Asia by means of materially false declarations and statements (the "antiquities smuggling scheme"). The second case, United States v. Jonathan and Carolyn Markell (Case No. CR-10-925-DDP), involves allegations that co-defendants Jonathan and Carolyn Markell, along with other unindicted co-conspirators, conspired to knowingly and intentionally defraud the United States by promoting and participating in a false charitable deduction scheme for the purpose of improperly claiming charitable deductions on Federal income tax returns filed with the Internal Revenue Service (the "tax evasion scheme"). Because the facts of each case are closely related, and the Guidelines calculations for defendant Jonathan Markell's participation in the tax evasion scheme impact the Guidelines calculations for his participation in the antiquities smuggling scheme (under a Guidelines analysis for "multiple counts"), the government's sentencing positions for both cases are consolidated herein.

II. SUMMARY OF THE GOVERNMENT'S POSITION

The government did not file any position with respect to the presentence report ("PSR") for either defendant in either of the two

criminal cases because the government does not have any objections to the factual findings or the Guidelines calculations set forth in the PSRs. There are, however, key differences in the methods used by the United States Probation Officer's ("USPO's") calculation of the defendants' Guidelines sentencing ranges, and the methods used by the parties in the defendants' respective plea agreements. These differences will be discussed in more detail below.

The government acknowledges that the USPO's Guidelines sentencing range ("GSR") calculation for each defendant is the most accurate and correct approach for determining each defendant's GSR by application of the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("USSG"). Nevertheless, the respectfully government requests that the Court impose the sentences recommended below, which give effect to the parties' negotiated plea agreements.

A. DEFENDANT CAROLYN MARKELL

The government requests that the Court sentence defendant Carolyn Markell, in Case Number CR-10-925-DDP (the tax evasion scheme), to a three year term of straight probation with no period of imprisonment, community confinement, home detention, or electronic monitoring. Pursuant to the parties' plea agreement, the government requests that in lieu of the assessment of any criminal fine, that the defendant be ordered to repatriate the "antiquities" seized from her residential and business premises to the respective countries of origin: China, Thailand, Cambodia, and Burma. The lists of antiquities that must be repatriated are attached hereto as Attachments 1 & 2 - and are similarly incorporated into defendant's plea agreement. This repatriation obligation should be ordered against both Jonathan and Carolyn Markell on a joint and several

liability basis. The parties estimate that the "repatriation costs" will be at least \$25,000 - and could be substantially higher. The specific terms and guidelines for the repatriation are set forth in \$\\ 4(h)\$ of defendant's plea agreement, and incorporated herein as Attachment 3. The government requests that these repatriation terms and guidelines be specifically incorporated into defendant's Judgment and Commitment Order as part of her terms and conditions of probation.

In addition to a mandatory special assessment of \$100, the government also requests that defendant Carolyn Markell be ordered to cooperate with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") in the determination of defendant's tax liability for the years 2004 through 2007, and to promptly pay all additional taxes and all penalties and interest, as required by her plea agreement and the mandatory restitution provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3663A. See

Defendant Carolyn Markell's Plea Agreement, ¶ 4(i). The specific obligation to which defendant has already agreed to in her plea agreement is set forth in Attachment 4 to this position. The PSR indicates that this mandatory tax liability "restitution" amount is currently \$39,891. See Defendant Carolyn Markell's PSR, ¶ 230.1

The government defers to the judgment of this Court as to which, if any, of the Court's standard probationary terms and conditions should also be incorporated into defendant Carolyn Markell's sentence.

¹ The government will provide the Court and defense counsel with an updated, or corrected tax liability restitution amount on or before the date of sentencing.

B. DEFENDANT JONATHAN MARKELL

1. Case Number CR-10-925-DDP

With respect to Case Number CR-10-925-DDP (the tax evasion scheme), the government respectfully requests that defendant Jonathan Markell receive the same sentence recommended above for codefendant Carolyn Markell.

2. Case Number CR-08-975-DDP

With respect to Case Number CR-08-975-DDP (the antiquities smuggling scheme), the government respectfully requests that defendant Jonathan Markell be sentenced to a term of 30 months in prison, a special assessment of \$100, a one year term of supervised release, and in lieu of a criminal fine -- imposition of the same antiquities repatriation terms requested for both defendants above in Case Number CR-10-925-DDP.

III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Offense Conduct sections of each defendant's PSR provide the Court with excellent and accurate synopses of the factual background for both the tax evasion scheme and the antiquities smuggling scheme. See Defendant Carolyn Markell's PSR ("Def. CM PSR", ¶¶ 17-57; see also Defendant Jonathan Markell's PSR ("Def. JM PSR"), ¶¶ 28-120. In short, Jonathan and Carolyn Markell jointly owned and operated the business Markell Imports, Inc., doing business as the Silk Roads Design Gallery ("Silk Roads"). See Def. CM PSR, ¶ 18; and see Def. JM PSR, ¶ 29. The Markells' business model consisted of their purchase and importation of art, antiques, and archeological resources from Southeast Asia, and their resale of such merchandise through their Silk Roads art gallery in West Hollywood.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Part of their business model included the intentional use of false declarations and statements in United States Customs entry documents to "smuggle" or introduce the archeological resources "antiquities" into the United States. Following the execution of a search warrant at their residence and gallery on January 24, 2008, both Jonathan and Carolyn Markell submitted to a joint interview by law enforcement officers. See Def. CM PSR, ¶ 60; see also Def. JM PSR, ¶ 125. During the interview, Jonathan Markell stated that he and Carolyn Markell falsified the customs documents to disquise what they were importing because the United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement classification process is difficult if they actually identify a piece as an "antique." See Def. CM PSR, ¶ 66; see also Def. JM PSR, ¶ 131. Carolyn Markell agreed with her husband's statement. Id. The Markells further justified their behavior by claiming that United States Customs forces people to lie on import declarations because of the delay in clearing Customs if they properly identify an object as an antique.

Despite the damning nature of these admissions, Jonathan Markell and Carolyn Markell did not admit the full extent of their criminal conduct and intent. For example, they did not admit that Jonathan Markell had conspired with co-defendant Robert Olson to not only falsely describe Burmese and Khmer antiquities in United States Customs import documents (see above admissions), but also to falsely declare the import value of those antiquities as 25% of their true purchase price. See Def. JM PSR, ¶¶ 52, 58, 62, and 65. Moreover, neither Carolyn or Jonathan Markell admitted to the interviewing agents that they knew that it was illegal to export archeological resources/antiquities from the countries of China, Thailand, and

Burma - which is most likely the reason that the antiquities were falsely described in United States Customs import documentation.

See, e.g., Def. CM PSR, ¶¶ 89, 95, 98-101; see also, e.g., Def. JM PSR, ¶¶ 72, 75-78.

The Markells' tax evasion scheme was heavily dependent upon the ready availability of Southeast Asian antiquities obtained by defendant Jonathan Markell, Carolyn Markell, and other coconspirators through the antiquities smuggling scheme and related, relevant conduct. The Southeast Asian antiquities smuggled into the United States by Jonathan Markell and others, were bundled and sold for approximately \$1,500. They \$1,500 "package" typically included antiquities from Ban Chiang, Thailand, false sales invoices to reflect an earlier sales date, along with a fraudulently inflated \$5,000 appraisal that contained a fraudulent expert's signature.

See Def. CM PSR, ¶¶ 20, 28, 35, 37, 40-49; see also Def. JM PSR, ¶¶ 83, 91, 98, 100, 103-112.

The importance of these unchallenged facts, is that defendants Jonathan and Carolyn Markell's business model was predicated on the looting of archeological resources from Southeast Asia, followed by the smuggling of those archeological resources into the United States for resale as legitimized "art objects." The defendants' criminal behavior was not accidental, inadvertent, negligent, or merely reckless. Instead, their crimes were committed with a calculated, clear-eyed, dispassionate, intentional willfulness, a complete disdain for the law, and coupled with a full understanding of the consequences of being caught.

One illustration of Jonathan and Carolyn Markell's complete contempt for this Nation's rule of law is encapsulated in defendant

Carolyn Markell's discussion of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act ("IEEPA") Burmese sanctions with the undercover agent ("UC") in this case. During that discussion, she told the UC that she had been extremely worried about the last shipment of antiquities that she and Jonathan Markell had brought into the United States from Thailand in September 2006 - which had included Burmese statues. She related to the UC that the President of the United States had prohibited entry of any Burmese items into the country, and that she had lost sleep worrying that their import shipment would be intercepted by United States Customs authorities. She told the UC that she did not care about being dishonest, but that she didn't want to get caught. See Def. JM PSR, ¶ 77.

Another example of the Markells' contempt for the rule of law is the March 20, 2007 conversation that defendant Jonathan Markell had with the UC in this case. During that conversation, the UC brought up a Los Angeles Daily News article about an individual that had been prosecuted for a tax evasion scheme involving antiquities and a museum. Jonathan Markell laughed about the article, and then asked the UC if he thought that he (Jonathan Markell) was going to jail (for the tax evasion scheme). Jonathan Markell told the UC that the person who had been caught must have done something pretty stupid, and that the government was not going to look at any donation under \$10,000. See Def. JM PSR, ¶ 124.

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

IV. THE FALSE CHARITABLE DEDUCTION/TAX EVASION SCHEME

- A. THE GUIDELINES CALCULATION FOR DEFENDANT CAROLYN MARKELL

 Defendant Carolyn Markell's PSR identifies several key

 discrepancies between the applications of the Guidelines in her plea
 agreement and the PSR itself. The discrepancies are as follows:
- 1. Use of U.S.S.G. §§ 2T2.1 and 2T4.1 in the plea agreement, versus use of U.S.S.G. §§ 2T1.9 and 2T4.1 in the PSR;²
- 2. Determining the tax loss to be less than \$5,000 in the plea agreement, versus determining the tax loss to be \$14,530; and
- 3. Inclusion of a two level increase for "conduct intended to encourage persons other than or in addition to conspirators to violate the internal revenue laws or impede, impair, obstruct, or defeat the ascertainment, computation, assessment, or collection of revenue," pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2T1.9(b)(2) in the PSR, 4 versus no two level increase for such conduct in the plea agreement.

These discrepancies in the application of the Guidelines, and the determination of the tax loss, account for the difference between the plea agreement's total offense level of 6, and the PSR's total offense level of 12.

The government concedes that the USPO's determination of the tax loss and application of the Guidelines is the correct approach, but respectfully requests that the Court sentence defendant Carolyn Markell in accordance with the parties' plea agreement. The majority of the difference between the plea agreement Guidelines Sentencing (0-6 months) Range and the PSR Guidelines Sentencing

 $^{^{2}}$ See Def. CM PSR, $\P\P$ 73-76.

³ See Def. CM PSR, ¶ 78-79.

⁴ See Def. CM PSR, ¶ 81.

Range (10-16 months) is driven by the parties' stipulation that the tax loss was less than \$5,000 - thus resulting in a Base Offense Level of 8 (without regard to use of U.S.S.G. § 2T2.1 or § 2T1.9, since both sections cross-reference to the tax loss table at Section 2T4.1). The PSR for defendant Carolyn Markell correctly states the total tax loss from the tax evasion scheme at paragraphs 53-57. In its alternative calculation, the government included only those years with a tax loss that were within six years of the 2010 indictment date. The government then determined the tax loss to be \$5,125 as follows:

Tax Loss for Jonathan and Carolyn Markell

Tax Year 2003: \$788

Tax Year 2004: \$490

Tax Year 2005: \$2,461

Tax Loss for the Schore's

Tax Year 2006: \$1,386

Total Tax Loss within Six Years of 2010 Indictment: \$5,1256

The Guidelines Tax Table set forth at Section 2T4.1 provides an offense level of 8 for a tax loss between \$2,000 and \$5,000. The Tax Table provides for an offense level of 10 for a tax loss between \$5,000 and \$12,500. Given that the government determined the tax loss within six years of the indictment date to be \$5,125, the government opted for an offense level 8, rather than "bumping" the

⁵ The government chose this delimiter, rather than the standard all-inclusive "relevant conduct" delimiter, because the statute of limitations for a tax evasion crime is six years, as per 26 U.S.C. § 6531(2).

 $^{^6}$ See Def. CM PSR, $\P\P$ 53-57 for comparison to PSR tax loss determination.

offense level up another two levels because the tax loss was only \$125 over the Section 2T4.1(B) \$5,000 upper threshold.

B. 18 U.S.C. § 3553 SENTENCING FACTORS FOR DEFENDANT CAROLYN MARKELL

The government respectfully requests that the Court determine defendant Carolyn Markell's GSR in accordance with the parties' plea agreement, even though the government has acknowledged that the parties application of the Guidelines was "faulty." In the alternative, should the Court determine defendant Carolyn Markell's GSR in accordance with the PSR, the government respectfully requests that the Court impose or grant a four level Booker variance, pursuant to the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) sentencing factors, on the basis that a term of imprisonment for Carolyn Markell is a sentence greater than necessary to accomplish the Section 3553(a) sentencing purposes.

C. THE GUIDELINES CALCULATION FOR DEFENDANT JONATHAN MARKELL

The government's sentencing position for defendant Jonathan Markell's role in the tax evasion scheme is the same as its position with respect to co-defendant Carolyn Markell. The government would note that if the Court determines Jonathan Markell's total offense level for the tax evasion scheme to be 6 (in accord with his plea agreement), the tax evasion scheme Guidelines calculation has no "multiple counts" impact on the determination of his total offense level for the antiquities smuggling scheme, because the offense level of the tax evasion scheme is 9 or more levels less than his antiquities smuggling scheme total offense level - and is therefore "disregarded" as per U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4(c).

D. 18 U.S.C. § 3553 SENTENCING FACTORS FOR JONATHAN MARKELL

The government's application of the 18 U.S.C. § 3553 sentencing factors for Jonathan Markell's involvement in the tax evasion scheme is the same as its position for co-defendant Carolyn Markell set forth above.

V. THE ANTIQUITIES SMUGGLING SCHEME

- A. THE GUIDELINES CALCULATION FOR DEFENDANT JONATHAN MARKELL The parties determined defendant Jonathan Markell's total offense level for the antiquities smuggling scheme, Case Number CR-08-975-DDP, to be 21, with a GSR of 37-46 months. The USPO determined his total offense level in the PSR to be 20, with a resulting GSR of 33-41 months. There are two reasons for the discrepancy between the sentencing recommendation of the parties' plea agreement and that of the USPO's PSR.
 - 1. Defendant should not receive a two level increase to his offense level for a pattern of misconduct involving a cultural heritage resource

The first reason is that the plea agreement includes a two level increase to Jonathan Markell's offense level for a "pattern of misconduct involving cultural heritage resources," pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.15(b)(5); whereas, the PSR determined that all, separate instances of offense conduct involving a cultural heritage resource occurred within, not outside of, the course of defendant's instant "conspiracy" offense. See Def. JM PSR, ¶ 145. Upon consideration of the USPO's analysis of this issue within the PSR, the government concludes that the USPO and the PSR are correct - defendant Jonathan Markell should not receive a two level increase to his offense level for a "pattern of misconduct involving cultural heritage resources," pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.15(b)(5). As a

result, defendant Jonathan Markell's total offense level should be 19, with a resulting GSR of 30-37 months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. Multiple counts analysis - defendant should not receive a one level increase for the tax evasion case under a multiple counts analysis

The second reason for the discrepancy between defendant

Jonathan Markell's total offense level in the plea agreement and the

PSR is due to the parties' and the USPO's multiple counts analysis.

As stated above in Section IV.A., which discusses defendant Carolyn

Markell's appropriate total offense level and sentence for the tax

evasion scheme, the government determined Carolyn and Jonathan

Markell's total offense level for the tax evasion scheme to be 6.

Under the Guidelines multiple counts analysis, the Court must first determine whether or not all of a defendant's counts of conviction group together into separate groups of closely related The PSR has correctly divided defendant Jonathan Markell's conviction for the tax evasion scheme (Case Number CR-10-925-DDP) into a different "group" than the antiquities smuggling scheme conviction (Case Number CR-08-975-DDP). See Def. JM PSR, ¶¶ 136-138; and see U.S.S.G. §§ 3D1.1(a)(1) and 3D1.2. The second step requires the Court to determine the adjusted offense level for each of the count groupings. See Def. JM PSR, ¶¶ 149-162; and see U.S.S.G. §§ 3D1.1(a)(2) and 3D1.3. The third step requires the Court to determine the combined offense level applicable to all of the groups taken together, applying U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4. See U.S.S.G. § 3D1.1(a)(3).

According to Section 3D1.4, the combined offense level is then determined by taking the offense level applicable to the group with the highest offense level (the antiquities smuggling scheme), and

increasing that offense level by the amount indicated in the table set forth in Section 3D1.4. In this instance, the government requests that the Court determine defendant Jonathan Markell's highest offense level group (the antiquities smuggling scheme) to be an adjusted offense level of 19, and the other offense level group (the tax evasion scheme) to be six. As a consequence of these two group offense level calculations, because the tax evasion scheme group is nine or more levels "less" than the antiquities smuggling scheme offense level of 19, the tax evasion scheme group is to be disregarded and has no impact on the calculation of Jonathan Markell's Guidelines total offense level for the combined offense calculation. See U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4(c).

The PSR reached a different conclusion. Because the USPO determined the offense level for the tax evasion scheme to be 12, defendant Jonathan Markell's antiquities smuggling scheme offense level of 19 was adjusted upward one level, pursuant to Section 3D1.4(b). See Def. JM PSR, ¶¶ 163-170.

The government respectfully requests that the Court adopt its multiple counts calculation predicated on an offense level of six for defendant Jonathan Markell's role in the tax evasion scheme and an offense level of 19 for his role in the antiquities smuggling scheme. This results in a final total offense level of 19, with a GSR of 30-37 months for both of Jonathan Markell's criminal convictions.

Pursuant to its plea agreement obligations, the government recommends that the Court sentence defendant Jonathan Markell to a term of 30 months in prison, a one year term of supervised release, repatriation of the antiquities to their countries of origin, a \$100

special assessment, along with any other terms and conditions of release that the Court determines to be appropriate.

B. 18 U.S.C. § 3553 SENTENCING FACTORS FOR JONATHAN MARKELL
The government submits that the sentencing purposes set forth
in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1) and (2) support imposition of a 30 month
sentence of imprisonment for defendant Jonathan Markell.

1. The nature and circumstances of the offense

There are two types of archeological resource looters: (1) the looter that digs up and collects artifacts as a hobby for his or her own personal collection; and (2) the looter that digs up artifacts for the purpose of selling them to brokers or gallery operators. As with the protection of threatened and endangered wildlife species, the key to protecting and conserving archeological resources is to eliminate markets for illicit/looted antiquities. Without the existence of brokers, middlemen, and gallery owners who are willing to knowingly and intentionally profit from sales of parts and products of threatened or endangered wildlife species or looted archeological resources, there is little monetary incentive for wildlife poachers or archeological resource looters to engage in their nefarious trades.

One might be tempted to think that the archeological resource looter squatting in the deep mud and steaming jungle highlands of Ban Chiang, Thailand is primarily to blame for the devastation of pristine archeological sites and the information lost thereby - just as one might be tempted to lay the bulk of the blame for the loss of the last Northern White Rhinoceros at the feet of the poacher who killed it; but that line of thinking is incorrect. It is individuals such as Jonathan Markell ... the importers, the buyers,

and the gallery owners who purchase and acquire such archeological resources or wildlife products for profitable resale who are primarily to blame for the underlying devastation. For these are the individuals who create the markets that create the monetary incentives that drive the poachers and looters into the fields.

Defendant Jonathan Markell and his co-conspirators purchased stolen and looted antiquities from the Southeast Asian countries of China, Burma, Thailand, and Cambodia and smuggled them into the United States over an extensive period of time. Some of these antiquities were stolen from Burma and knowingly smuggled into the United States by Jonathan Markell and his co-conspirators in violation of the Burmese economic sanctions, and others were looted and stolen from the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Educational Organization ("UNESCO") designated World Heritage Site in Ban Chiang, Thailand. See Def. JM PSR, ¶¶ 77, 142. The Ban Chiang World Heritage site dates from 1495 BC and is considered the most important prehistoric settlement so far discovered in Southeast Asia. See Def. JM PSR, ¶¶ 142.

The government submits that defendant Jonathan Markell's antiquities smuggling conduct was a serious offense, with potentially wide ranging implications that touch upon multiple countries. As such, the nature and circumstances of his offense support a 30 month sentence of imprisonment and a Court-ordered repatriation of the antiquities (at his expense) to their countries of origin.

2. The need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense

Given the nature of the offense discussed immediately above, which underscores the seriousness of the offense, the government submits that a 30 month term of imprisonment is necessary to reflect that seriousness and to promote respect for the law and to provide just punishment for the offense.

Archeological resources are non-renewable. The looting of archeological resources causes widespread destruction of archeological sites and results in the loss of archeological information which would be gleaned from a properly excavated site. Many villages throughout Southeast Asia have been deprived of the opportunity to grow an economy based on archeological tourism because their heritage and archeological resources have been devastated by looting activity to supply to the purveyors of "stolen time," such as defendant Jonathan Markell.

UNESCO World Heritage sites, such as Ban Chiang, Thailand, are particularly important from an archeological standpoint. Not only does protection of such sites preserve the history and identity of the Thai (and other) peoples, but it also protects one of the cradles of civilization in Southeast Asia for future study and understanding. There is an ever increasing awareness and interest by the Thai people in their archeological resources and history as they become a more educated, developed, and affluent society and nation. Thai archeologists and employees within the Thai Fine Arts Department (charged with protecting archeological resources) are

also ever increasing their efforts to protect and preserve the rich Thai cultural heritage.

Criminal conduct, like that committed by defendant Jonathan Markell in this case, serves to deprive individuals from other countries of their own distinctive histories and heritages - in essence stealing not just their antiquities, but their "time" and history. Given the information gleaned from the PSR, and referenced above in Section II. Factual Background, it is clear that Jonathan Markell has no respect for the law - not this nation's laws, nor those of other nations. A 30 month term of imprisonment is not only a sure measure to promote respect for these important conservation laws, but also to provide adequate punishment for these serious offenses.

3. The need for the sentence imposed to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct

As noted above, it is rare that law enforcement officials have the opportunity to catch a broker, bulk sellers, or gallery owner that drives the illicit market for archeological resources.

Defendant Jonathan Markell's antiquities smuggling case presents a unique opportunity to send a message and afford adequate deterrence to the "upper end" of the criminal black market for looted archeological resources, i.e., the brokers and gallery owners who

⁷ The government will submit a Declaration of Dr. Joyce White regarding these points and concerns. Dr. White is an American archaeologist, an adjunct associate professor at the University of Pennsylvania, and executive director of the new Institute for Southeast Asian Archaeology. Her research primarily concerns decades-long multidisciplinary archaeological investigations in Thailand and Laos covering the prehistoric human occupation of the middle reaches of the Mekong River Basin. She is considered the world's leading expert on the UNESCO World Heritage Site of Ban Chiang, Thailand, and directs an archaeological fieldwork program in the Luang Prabang Province of Laos

sell their wares to the collectors. If we are able to diminish and disincentivize the market (or demand) for illegal antiquities, then we may have an equal effect on taking the monetary incentives out of the act of looting itself. It is the market, or demand, which drives the looters at the ground level to provide the supply of stolen antiquities to meet that demand.

The government respectfully submits that the need for the sentence to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct by other antiquities brokers and gallery owners is enough, on its own, to support a 30 month sentence for Jonathan Markell.

VI. REPATRIATION OF SMUGGLED ANTIQUITIES

The plea agreement term that requires defendants Carolyn and Jonathan Markell repatriate the antiquities seized from their residence and business in this case, is a core element in ensuring that justice is achieved in this case. For that reason, the government respectfully requests that this Court incorporate the "repatriation" terms and conditions from each defendant's respective plea agreements into the Court's final judgment and commitment order.

VII. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the government respectfully requests that the Court sentence defendant Carolyn Markell to a term of straight probation for her conviction on the tax evasion scheme in Case Number CR-10-925-DDP. The government also requests that the Court impose a special assessment of \$100, the antiquities repatriation requirement set forth in Attachments 1, 2, and 3 to this pleading, and the tax restitution requirement set forth in Attachment 4 to this pleading.

Case 2:10-cr-00925-DDP Document 67 Filed 12/03/15 Page 24 of 52 Page ID #:375

For the foregoing reasons, the government also respectfully requests that the Court sentence defendant Jonathan Markell to a 30 month term of imprisonment for his conviction on Count One of Case Number CR-08-975-DDP, and a term of straight probation for his conviction on the tax evasion scheme in Case Number CR-10-925-DDP. The government also requests that the Court impose a special assessment of \$200 (for the two felony convictions), the antiquities repatriation requirement set forth in Attachments 1, 2, and 3 to this pleading, and the tax restitution requirement set forth in Attachment 4 to this pleading.

ATTACHMENT 1

Case 2:10-cr-00925-DDP Document 67 Filed 12/03/15 Page 26 of 52 Page ID #:377 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 0 0 9 GETRATE'S CASE NO. V. TO: ANY SPECIAL AGENT OF INTERNAL THE PREMISES KNOWN AS: REVENUE SERVICE, IMMIGRATION AND 245 South Irving Blvd. CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, NATIONAL PARK Los Angeles, California 90004 SERVICE OR ANY OTHER AUTHORIZED Affidavit(s) having been made before me by the below-named affiant that he/she has reason to believe that on the premises known as SEE ATTACHMENT A AND ATTACHMENT C NOTE CHARGES MADE BY THE COURT. in the Central District of California there is now being concealed certain property, namely: SEE ATTACHMENT B and as I am satisfied that there is probable cause to believe that the property so described is being concealed on the person or premises above-described and the grounds for application for issuance of the search warrant exist as stated in the supporting affidavit(s), which are incorporated herein by reference and attached hereto. YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to search on or before _____ten (10) days exceed 10 days) the person or place named above for the property specified, serving this warrant and making the search (in the daytime-6:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M.) and if the property be found there to seize it, leaving a copy of this warrant and receipt for the property taken, and prepare a written inventory of the property seized and promptly return this warrant to _____the duty U.S. Magistrate Judge required by law.

NAME OF AFFIANT

SIGNATURE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

BONNY L. MacKENZIE

January /9, 2008 a search is to be authorized "at any time in the day or night" pursuant to Federal Rules of Criminal

rocedure Rule 41(c), show reasonable cause therefor.

** United States Judge or Judge of a State Court of Record.

SMC:ric

	RETURN	11 g
JOHNSON 23, 2008	DATE AND TIME WARRANT EXECUTE STANK	COPY OF WARRANT AND RECEIPT FOR ITEMS LEFT WITH JONG From LC of Marke II
NVENTORY MADE IN THE PRESENCE O	()	
INVENTORY OF PROPERTY TAKEN PURS		
See alleri	*	
See attached		*
	*	
	D.	
	47	
+	*	
	SK 19	- V
*		ē.
4		
	*	
	· ·	*
	g e	*
	4	•
		400 (a)
	*	
	CERTIFICATION	
swear that this inventory is a true a		erty taken by me on the warrant.
BY	voc Im	* ************************************
Subscribed, sworn to, and refu	Indd before me this date.	466
U.S. JUD	GE OR MAGISTRATE D.	ATE

Inventory Listing of All Items Seized at Search Warrant Site

Site Name:

Investigation Number:

Report Date:

JM1

245 S. Irving Boulevard

950630127

Wednesday, April 23, 2008

Los Angeles, CA 90004.

Starting Date and Time:

01/24/2008 06:05 AM **Ending Date and Time:** 01/24/2008 03:00 PM

Control #:

Evidence Box:

Location:

Master Bedroom

Locator Code:

JM1-N

Found:

On Trunk at foot of bed

Description:

Seized Per Warrant

Appears to be Asian Art Book Catalogue

Appears to be Consigned Items Sales Book

Control #:

2

Evidence Box:

1

Location:

Office

Locator Code:

JM1-0-1

Found: Description: In Filing Cabinet Seized Per Warrant

Business card with Ban Cherang written on it

United States Passport for Carolynn Markell United States Passport for Jonathan Markell

Control #:

3

Evidence Box:

Location:

Master Bedroom

Seized Per Warrant

Locator Code:

JM1-N-2

Found: Description: On top of nightstand closest to N-2

Appears to be phone slips regarding acquisition of Ban

Chiang artifacts & Burmese Items

Control #:

Evidence Box:

2

Location: Found:

Storage Room

Locator Code:

JM1-G

In Room

Description:

Seized Per Warrant Appears to be Ban Chiang (2 Vases) per Robert and Soi

Control #:

Evidence Box:

Location:

Storage Room

Locator Code:

JM1-G

Found:

In Room

Seized Per Warrant

Description:

Appears to be Ban Chiang (2 small vases) per Robert and

Evidence Box:

Control #: Location:

Storage Room

Locator Code:

JM1-G

Found:

Description:

In Room

Seized Per Warrant

Appears to be Ban Chlang (2 small vases) per Robert and

Soi

Soi

Control #: Location:	7 Storage Room	Evidence Box: Locator Code:	5 JM1-G	
Found: Description:	In Room Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (2 Vases) per R	obert and Soi	
Control #:	8	Evidence Box:	6	
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code:	JM1-G	
Found: Description:	In Room Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (2 Vases) per Ro	obert and Soi	
Control #:	9	Evidence Box:	7	
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code:	JM1-G	
Found: Description:	In Room Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (2 Vases) per Ro	obert and Soi	
Control #:	10	Evidence Box:	8	
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code:	JM1-G	
Found:	In Room			
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	nt Appears to be Ban Chiang (3 small Vases) per Robert and Soi		
Control #:	11	Evidence Box:	9	
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code:	JM1-G	
Found: Description:	In Room Selzed Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (3 small Vases) p	per Robert and	
Control #:	12	Evidence Box:	10	
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code;	JM1-G	
Found: Description:	In Room Selzed Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (3 small Vases) p	er Robert and	
Control #:	13	Evidence Box:	11	
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code:	JM1-G	
Found: Description:	In Room Selzed Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (3 small Vases) p Soi	er Robert and	
Control #:	14	Evidence Box:	12	
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code:	JM1-G	
Found: Description:	In Room Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (3 small vases) posoi	er Robert and	

Control #:	15	Evidence Box	:: 13
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code	: JM1-G
Found:	In Room		FC.
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chlang (1 large vase)	per Robert and Soi
Control #:	16	Evidence Box	: 14
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code	: JM1-G
Found:	in Room	· ·	
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (1 large vase)	per Robert and Soi
Control #:	17	Evidence Box	: 15
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code	: JM1-G
Found:	In Room		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (1 large vase)	per Robert and Soi
Control #:	18	Evidence Box	A 32550
Location:	Living Room	Locator Code	: JM1-1
Found:	in corner in front of hutch		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (1 large vase)	per Robert and Soi
Control #:	19	Evidence Box	: 17
Location:	Living Room	Locator Code:	JM1-I
Found:	In corner in front of h		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (1 large vase)	per Robert and Soi
Control #:	20	Evidence Box	18
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code:	JM1-G
Found:	In Room	On the case was a second	
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	ant Appears to be Ban Chiang (various small artifacts to but not limited to spear heads, bracelets, impression etc.) per Robert and Soi	
Control #:	21	Evidence Box:	1
Location:	Storage Room	Locator Code:	JM1-G
Found:	in Room		
Description:		Appears to be photos of Ban Chiang artifa	cts per Robert and
Control #:	22	Evidence Box:	1
Location:	Son's Bedroom	Locator Code:	JM1-K
Found:	In Room	TP	1
	Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be slide photos of SE Asian tra	

			**		
	Control #:	23		Evidence Box:	1
	Location: Found:	Basement		Locator Code:	JM1-D
	Description:	The second of th			2002 Form 1040s
	Control #:	24	*	Evidence Box:	19
	Location:	Storage Room		Locator Code:	JM1-G
			Appears to be Ban Chia heads) per Robert and		heads and axe
1	Control#:	25		Eyidence Box:	20
	Location:	Storage Room		Locator Code:	JM1-G
	Found: Description:	In Room Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chia	ing (2 vases) per Ri	obert and Soi
	Control #:	26		Evidence Box:	21
	Location:	Storage Room		Locator Code:	
	Found:	In Room	€.		
-	Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiang (various artifacts to include, but not limited to bracelets with bone, bowl, spear head, etc.) per Robert and Soi		
-	Control #:	27		Evidence Box:	1
	Location:	Office		Locator Code:	JM1-O
	Found: Description:	On floor against wall Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be fair marke artifacts donated by Jon		
_			1040 tax returns and rela Markell with Form 8283 artifacts	ated documents for	Jon & Cari
_	Control #:	28		Evidence Box:	22
	Location:	Storage Room		Locator Gode:	JM1-G
	Found: Description:			ng (1 large vase) pe	er Cari
Ξ	Control #:	29	¥)	Evidence Box:	23
	Location:	Storage Room		Locator Code:	JM1-G
	Found:	In Room			Э.
_	Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chiar	ng (3 vases) per Ca	ri
	Control #:	30		Evidence Box:	24
	Location:	Storage Room	. 2	Locator Code:	JM1-G
	Found: Description:	in Room Seized Per Warrant	Appears to be Ban Chian and a club shaped artifac		let, a necklace

Control #: 31 **Evidence Box:** 25 Location: Bar **Locator Code:** JM1-F Found: On top of bar Description: Seized Per Warrant Appears to be Ban Chiang (Various bracelets, necklace and other artifacts) per Soi, Robert and verified by Cari Control #: 32 **Evidence Box:** 26 Location: Office **Locator Code:** JM1-0 Found: In Room Description: Seized Per Warrant Appears to be various books about Ban Chiang, spiral bound 1970 UNESCO convention (which discusses Ban Chiang), Various documents showing pictures, descriptions, appraisals of Ban Chiang artifacts, Business cards (believed to be sources of Ban Chiang artifacts) Control #: 33 **Evidence Box:** 27 Location: Office **Locator Code:** JM1-0 Found: In Room Description: Selzed Per Warrant Syllabus for Asian ceramics conference (Ban Chiang), List of names w/ phone numbers and business cards (believed to be sources of artifacts), Definition of FMV (as relates to Ban Chlang), List of Ban Chlang Item prices, Invoices from silk roads regarding Ban Chiang items, Articles on Ban Chiang Control #: 34 **Evidence Box:** 27 Location: Office **Locator Code:** JM1-0 Found: In Room Description: Seized Per Warrant Various documents, writings and pictures (Ban Chiang artifacts) Letter to LACMA from Jon Markel re: Ban Chiang artifacts Control #: 35 Evidence Box: 27 Location: Office Locator Code: JM1-0 Found: In Room Description: Seized Per Warrant Phone message book (believed to be regarding sale or disposition of Ban Chiang), invoices, letter, writings, pictures regarding Ban Chiang artifacts Control #: 36 **Evidence Box:** 27 Location: Office **Locator Code:** JM1-0 Found: In Room Description: Seized Per Warrant Paper regarding SE Asia archiology (believed to include Ban Chiang); Paper titled "Arts of Asia" (believed to be regarding Burmese Items); Binder with pictures and descriptions of what appears to be Burmese items

Control #:	37	Evidence Box:	27
Location:	Office	Locator Code:	JM1-O
Found:	In Room	180	
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Various documents regarding Ban Chiang (to limited to letters from museums, emails, pictor descriptions of Ban Chiang artifacts)	o include, but not ures and
Control #:	38	Evidence Box:	27
Location:	Office	Locator Code:	JM1-O
Found:	In Room		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Photos that appear to be of Ban Chiang artife written notes (believed to be regarding Ban C	
Control #:	39	Evidence Box:	
Location:	Office	Locator Code:	JM1-O
Found:	In Room		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Dell Inspiron 5150 Laptop- Image of 80,03gb	hard drive
Control #:	40	Evidence Box:	
Location:	Office	Locator Code:	JM1-0
Found:	In Room	In the State of Children in the State of the	
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Image of PNY Technologies Optima Pro Com	ipact Flash
Control #:	41	Evidence Box:	
Location:	Office	Locator Code:	JM1-O
Found:	In Room		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Image of Lexas 2GB Compact Flash Platinum	1 II 80x
Control #:	42	Evidence Box:	
Location:	Office	Locator Code:	JM1-O
Found:	In Room		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Image of San Disk 256MB Compact Flash	
Control #:	40	Evidence Box:	
Control w.	43	LVIGCINE DOX.	
Location:	Office		JM1-O
	(3.37)		JM1-O

Inventory Listing of All Items Seized at Search Warrant Site

Site Name:

Investigation Number:

Report Date:

245 S. IRVING BOULEVARD

950630127

Friday, January 25, 2008

LOS ANGELES, CA 90004 ROBERT E. OLSON

Starting Date and Time: 01/24/2008 06:20 AM

Ending Date and Time: 01/24/2008 03:41 PM .

Control #:

Evidence Box:

Locator Code: JM₂

Location: Found:

JM2 - MAIN **BACK GALLERY**

Seized Per Warrant APPEARS TO BE BAN CHIANG VASE

Control #:

Evidence Box:

2

Location:

Description:

JM2 - MAIN

Locator Code:

JM₂

Found: Description: **BACK GALLERY**

Seized Per Warrant APPEARS TO BE BAN CHIANG VASE

Control#:

3

Evidence Box:

3

Location:

JM2 - MAIN

Locator Code:

JM₂

Found: Description: **BACK GALLERY**

Seized Per Warrant . APPEARS TO BE BAN CHIANG VASE

Control #:

4

Evidence Box:

4

Location: Found:

JM2 - MAIN **BACK GALLERY** **Locator Code:**

JM₂

Description:

APPEARS TO BE BAN CHIANG VASE Seized Per Warrant

Control #:

Location:

Evidence Box:

5

Locator Code: JM₂

Found:

JM2 - MAIN **BACK GALLERY**

APPEARS TO BE A BAN CHIANG VASE

Description:

5

Seized Per Warrant

6

Control #:

JM2 - MAIN

Evidence Box: **Locator Code:**

JM2

Location: Found:

BACK GALLERY

Seized Per Warrant

Description:

7

Control #:

JM2 - MAIN

Evidence Box: **Locator Code:**

JM₂

Location: Found:

Description:

BACK GALLERY

Seized Per Warrant APPEARS TO BE A BAN CHIANG DISH

APPEARS TO BE A BAN CHIANG VASE

Control #: · Location:	8 JM2 - MAIN		Evidence Box: Locator Code:	8 JM2
Found: Description:	BACK GALLERY Seized Per Warrant	APPEARS TO BE A BAN DISPLAY CASE	N CHIANG VASE II	N SQUARE
Control #:	9	,	Evidence Box:	9
Location:	JM2 - LOFT		Locator Code:	JM2
Found: Description:	BACK GALLERY Seized Per Warrant	APPEARS TO BE A BAN	N CHIANG BROKE	N VASE
Control #:	10		Evidence Box:	10
Location:	JM2 - LOFT		Locator Code:	JM2
Found: Description:	BACK GALLERY Seized Per Warrant	APPEARS TO BE A LAR	RGE BAN CHIANG	VASE
Control #:	11		Evidence Box:	11
Location:	JM2 - LOFT		Locator Code:	JM2
Found: Description:	BACK GALLERY Seized Per Warrant	APPEARS TO BE A LARGE BAN CHIANG VASE		
Control #:	12		Evidence Box:	
Location:	ě		Locator Code:	
Found: Description:		Not Used		
Control #:	13		Evidence Box:	
Location:			Locator Code:	
Found: Description:		Not Used		
Control #:	14		Evidence Box:	
Location:		*	Locator Code:	- 6
Found: Description:		Not Used		==
Control #:	15		Evidence Box:	15
ocation:	JM2 - MAIN		Locator Code:	JM2
Found: Description:	BACK GALLERY Seized Per Warrant	APPEARS TO BE A BAN MARKELL	CHIANG VESSEL	- PER CARI

ATTACHMENT 2

Case 2:10-cr-00925-DDP UNITED STATES DISTRICT			Page 37 of 52 Page ID #:388 DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AM v. THE PREMISES KNOWN Markell Imports, Inc. doing busines Gallery 145 North La Brea Avenue Los Angeles, California 90036	NAS:	REVENUE SER CUSTOMS EN	MAGISTRATE'S CASE NO. 0 9 4 M CIAL AGENT OF INTERNAL EVICE, IMMIGRATION AND FORCEMENT, NATIONAL PARK ENY OTHER AUTHORIZED
Affidavit(s) having been made befor on the premises known as SEE ATT			hat he/she has reason to believe that NT C
		i 81 10	
	¥	NOTE CHA	NGES MADE BY THE COURT.
· 2	50	in the Central I	District of California
t' s is now being concealed certain	property, namel	y: SEE ATTACH	MENT B
	N		
and as I am satisfied that there is pr concealed on the person or premises search warrant exist as stated in the and attached hereto.	above-described	and the grounds	for application for issuance of the
YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDE exceed 10 days) the person or place a making the search (in the daytime-6 leaving a copy of this warrant and reproperty seized and promptly return required by law.	named above for :00 A.M. to 10:00 eceipt for the pro	the property speci P.M.) and if the perty taken, and p	fied, serving this warrant and property be found there to seize it, repare a written inventory of the
NAME OF AFFIANT	SIGNATURE U.S. MAG	ISTRATE JUDGE	DATE/TIME ISSUED 6:15p.m.
BONNY L. MacKENZIE	CARLA	M. WOEHRLE	January 19, 2008
earch is to be authorized "at an Lure Rule 41(c), show reasonable "United States Judge or Judge of a S	e cause therefor.		
SMC:rje			
<u>Mrc</u>	engles and that the applicable is referred thank	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	-		ETURN						<u> </u>
Januani 23, 2008		E	warrai 4, 200	TEXECUT	X	COPY C FOR IT	F WARR EMS LEF	ANT AND F WITH Jo	orchion, iecely dealto
NVENTORY MADE IN THE PRESENCE	OF YES	eint 1	eft al	- Plen	11505				
NVENTORY OF PROPERTY TAKEN PU	RSUANT TO	THE WA	RRANT						17
See a Had	ched.	6	*				11.		
	*								
				94				(e	
	, 4								
									.8
						3			
	•		*				1		
*	,	10							11.
		*		48			•		
•	4				,				
4									
					×				
¥									
4									
		CERTIF	ICATI	ON	- N. W				ho-defendavolumery—S—e
								•	
swear that this inventory is a true	and deta	iled acco	unt of a	ll the pro	perty	taken	by me o	n the war	rant.
_B	More	2						- 4	
Subscribed swarm to and			4 letteral -		,				71
Subscribed, sworn to, and r) etaluea o	eine me	ons da	0 41	By	151			

U.S. JUDGE OR MAGISTRATE

DATE

Inventory Listing of All Items Seized at Search Warrant Site

Site Name:

Investigation Number:

Report Date:

Roads

Markell Imports Inc DBA Silk

95063127

Wednesday, April 23, 2008

145 N La Brea Ave #C Los Angeles, CA 90036 Starting Date and Time: 01/24/2008 08:00 AM Ending Date and Time:

01/24/2008 05:24 PM

Control #:

1

Evidence Box:

1

Location: Found:

Showroom

Top of desk

Locator Code:

A10

Description:

Seized Per Warrant Bronze Buddha ev\$1250

Control #;

2

Evidence Box:

2

Location:

Description:

Showroom

Locator Code:

A11

Found:

On floor

Bronze sitting Buddha with 4 monks & Hotei Thailand # 3260

ev\$3500

Control #:

3

Seized Per Warrant

Evidence Box:

3 .

Location:

Showroom

Locator Code:

A11

Found: Description: Display case

Buddha ev\$395

Control #:

4

Evidence Box:

4

Location:

Showroom

Locator Code:

A11

Found: Description: Inside cabinet top shelf

Selzed Per Warrant

Seized Per Warrant 3 piece Buddha ev \$395

Control #:

5

Evidence Box:

5

Location:

Showroom

Locator Code:

A11

Found:

Inside cabinet top shelf

Description:

Seized Per Warrant 3 plece Buddha ev \$395

Control #:

6

Evidence Box:

6

Location:

Showroom

Locator Code:

A11

Found: Description:

Cabinet next to wall

Seized Per Warrant #3264 xB9-16th-17th Small statue reddish brown ev \$295

Control #:

Evidence Box:

7 A11

Location:

Showroom

Locator Code:

Found: Description:

, v.,

Cabinet next to wall top shelf

Seized Per Warrant small statue tan and greenish color black base ev \$295

k0 ev
Markell ev
th-18th
Madra 5
rine) ev
4
a ev \$495

).

Control #:	16	Evidence Box:	21
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A11
Found:	Wood/glass shelf ba	ck against wall	
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Pair of Asian artifact lions on wood stage, b guardian lions)singhas) Burma 18th centur	
Control #:	17	Evidence Box:	9
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A11
Found:	On table		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Solid bronze child's bracelet (Thailand) ev \$	595
Control #:	18	Evidence Box:	12
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A11
Found:	Cablnet	8	2
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	The walking Buddha from Burma ev\$450	
Control #:	19	Evidence Box:	17
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A11
Found:	In cabinet		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Lead seated Buddha from Thailand ev\$875	
Control #:	20	Evidence Box:	20
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A11
Found:	In cabinet		
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Buddha seated in the Bodhl tree figurine ev	\$4495
Control #:	21	Evidence Box:	19
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A11
Found:	Middle shelf of glass	cabinet	19
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Small seated bronze Buddha in earth witnes Thailand, Ayuttiya 17th 18th century ev \$695	
Control #:	22	Evidence Box:	24
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A6
Found:	Hanging on wall		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Glass decoration wood guild Peacock Burm ev \$1950	a imported 2006
Control #:	23	Evidence Box:	22
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A11
Found:	Wood/glass shelf bac	k against wall	
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	lead charm with Buddha in Bhumi-sparso Ma 18th century ev \$425	adra Burma 17th
			

Control #:	24	Evidence Box:	26
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A13
Found:	On stool	Matter Production when the test and an extend according	PONO
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Votive Buddha w/tall finial and pointed aure burma shan style 18 - 19th century ev \$780	
Control #:	25	Evidence Box:	23
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A6 .
Found:	On stand near tall but		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Small alabaster Buddha head w/fome featu century ev \$3975	res 18th - 19th
Control #:	26	. Evidence Box:	25
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A6
Found:	On stand against wall		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Lacquer standing Buddha glided w/colored lacquer standing Buddha ev \$9500	glass & raised
Control #:	27	Evidence Box:	27
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A13 ·
Found:	On floor		a Application
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	3277 Temipe pillar w/duck and devas 19th	century ev \$1275
Control #:	28	Evidence Box:	28
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A13
Found: Description:	Center round table by Selzed as	couch Qx cart ornament w/Naga eating an animal	and a enaka haad
Description.	Contraband	at the other end and Burma Mandaly ev \$14	
Control #:	29	Evidence Box:	31
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A14
Found:	Center table top shelf		
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Earth touching Buddha mon style wooden B 18th ev \$1950	uddha-Burma
Control #:	30	Evidence Box:	33
Location:	Showroom	Evidence Box: Locator Code:	33 A14
Location: Found:	Showroom Center table top shelf	Locator Code:	A14
Location:	Showroom Center table top shelf		A14
Location: Found:	Showroom Center table top shelf	Locator Code: Wood Buddha in earth witness Mudra Thaila	A14
Location: Found: Description:	Showroom Center table top shelf Selzed Per Warrant	Locator Code: Wood Buddha in earth witness Mudra Thaila ev \$2900	A14 and 18-19 century
Location: Found: Description: Control #:	Showroom Center table top shelf Seized Per Warrant 31 Showroom On table	Locator Code: Wood Buddha in earth witness Mudra Thaila ev \$2900 Evidence Box:	A14 and 18-19 century 29 A4

Control #: Location:	32 Showroom		Evidence Box: Locator Code:	30
Found:	On table		Locator Gode;	A4
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Wood Votive Buddha in s	hal style from Burn	na ev \$7800
Control #:	33	4 3	Evidence Box:	34
Location:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A14
Found:	Center shelf			
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Ban Chiang pttery vase e	y \$6500	***
Control #:	34	N .	Evidence Box:	32
Location:	Showroom	· ·	Locator Code:	A14
Found:	Center table top shelf			
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Wooden Buddha burma 3 ev \$2900	161 tT KLK Earth	witnessing Mudra
Control #:	35		Evidence Box:	35
Location:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A13
Found:	Center table top shelf			
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Wood Buddha statue Eart Thayo decoration ev \$197		Burma raised
Control #:	36		Evidence Box:	36
Location:	Showroom	(-)	Locator Code:	A1
Found:	On floor in front of flag)		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Lacquer standing Buddha prohibited entry Burma 18th century ev \$5		ecoration photos
			-	
Control #:	37		Evidence Box:	37
Location: Found:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A13
Description:	Center table top shelf Seized Per Warrant	Very high throne w/dedica Burma ev \$1975	tion panel wood Bu	uddha statue
Control #:				
	38		Evidence Box:	39
Location:	38 Showroom		Evidence Box: Locator Code:	39 A13
Location: Found:	Showroom Inside bottom cabinet			
Location: Found:	Showroom Inside bottom cabinet			
Location: Found:	Showroom Inside bottom cabinet	Stone bracelet ev \$300		
Location: Found: Description:	Showroom Inside bottom cabinet Selzed Per Warrant	Stone bracelet ev \$300	Locator Code:	A13
Location: Found: Description: Control #:	Showroom Inside bottom cabinet Selzed Per Warrant 39	Stone bracelet ev \$300	Locator Code: Evidence Box:	A13

		*			
Control #:	40		Evidence Box:	43	
Location:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A10	
Found:	Near main door				
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Seated gift Buddha ev \$3	3200	·	
Control #:	41		Evidence Box:	44	
Location:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A14	
Found:	Center table middle s		<u>K</u>		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Buddha in earth witness	Mudra wood statue	Burma ev	\$1050
Control #:	42		Evidence Box:	41	
-Location:	-Showroom		-Locator Code:	A13	
Found:	Inside bottom cabinet		*	0.40	
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Bronze bracelets ev \$275	<u> </u>	3450 4 5	
Control #:	43		Evidence Box:	38	
Location:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A13	
Found:	Inside bottom cabinét		•		
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Stone bracelet ev \$300			41
Control#:	44	4	Evidence Box:	42	
Location:	Showroom	•	Locator Code:	A13	
Found:	Inside bottom cabinet				
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Bronze bracelet ev \$275	***		3
Control#:	45		Evidence Box:	46	
Location:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A13	
Found:	Inside bottom cabinet				
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Ceramic Pounder ev \$75			
Control #:	46		Evidence Box:	49	
Location:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A13	
Found:	Inside bottom cabinet				
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Bronze axe ev \$275		44	
Control #:	47		Evidence Box:	48	
Location:	Showroom	100	Locator Code:	A13	
Found:	Inside bottom cabinet	1	*		
Description:	Selzed Per Warrant	Bronze axe ev \$275			
Control #:	48	*	Evidence Box:	45	
Location:	Showroom		Locator Code:	A13	
Found:	Inside bottom cabinet	**			
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Ceramic pounder			

Control #: Location: Found:	49 Showroom Inside bottom cabine	Evidence Box: Locator Code:	47 A13
Description:	Seized Per Warrant	Bronze miniature vessel ev \$400	
Control #:	50	Evidence Box:	52
Location: Found:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A13
Description:	Top of buffet Selzed Per Warrant	8 wood religious carvings described as leaf w/gold train ev \$495	s red in color
Gontrol #:	51	Evidence-Box:	50 .
Location:	Chauraam	Locator Code:	A13
Found: Description:	On table Seized Per Warrant	Gold colored Buddha wood carving	
Control #:	52	Evidence Box:	56
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A5
Found: Description:	Desk drawer in cash Selzed Per Warrant	box Ban Ching Adze arrowhead by Robert Murd	wchick ev \$295
Control #:	53	Evidence Box:	53
Location: Found:	Artifact Storage	Locator Code:	C8 .
Description:	On top shelf Seized Per Warrant	Buddha in earth touching Mudra on very hig \$1700	ht throne ev
Control #:	54	Evidence Box:	55
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A13
Found: Description:	Table top Selzed Per Warrant	Lacquered painted gift Buddha ev \$2600	
Control #:	55	Evidence Box:	51
Location:	Showroom	Locator Code:	A13
Found: Description:	Hanging on wall Selzed Per Warrant	Sutra Buddhist Scriptures trunk panel Burma figures import 2006 ev \$4800	a w/4 monkey
Control #:	56	Evidence Box:	54
Location:	Artifact Storage	Locator Code:	C5
Found: Description:	On floor Selzed Per Warrant	Bronze standing Buddha in fredom from fear \$14500	Mudra ev

ATTACHMENT 3

BY MEANS OF FALSE DECLARATIONS AND STATEMENTS in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 and 542.

- b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and prior to sentencing submits a completed financial statement on a form to be provided by the USAO.
- h. Defendant agrees to repatriate and return the antiquities and archeological resources ("the Artifacts") seized from his residence and business premises on or about January 24, 2008, to the Artifacts' countries of origin. The parties believe that the countries of origin include China, Thailand, Cambodia, and Burma. This obligation is joint and several to the obligation of codefendant Carolyn Markell to perform the same repatriation of the same Artifacts. The Artifacts to be repatriated and returned to the countries of origin are set forth in the Inventory Listing of All Items Seized at Search Warrant Site 245 South Irving Blvd., Los

Angeles, California, and Inventory Listing of All Items Seized at Search Warrant Site Markell Imports, doing business as Silk Roads Gallery, 145 North La Brea Avenue, Los Angeles, California, attached hereto and incorporated herein, respectively, as Attachments 1 and 2.

- i. As part of the repatriation, defendant agrees to waive all claims to, and voluntarily abandon and forfeit, all title and interest in the Artifacts to the countries of origin or to an entity selected by the governments of the countries of origin and approved by the USAO.
- ii. As part of the repatriation, defendant agrees to properly package the Artifacts and to cause their shipment to the countries of origin by boat. The defendant specifically agrees to take all reasonable and customary steps used for the shipping of Artifacts to ensure that they are packaged, shipped, and transported in such a way that they arrive in the countries of origin intact and undamaged. The USAO will provide the defendant with shipping address(es) and contact information for the designated shipping location in each country, and will otherwise assist with the transfer to the extent necessary
- iii. The parties anticipate and believe that the approximate repatriation costs for the Artifacts will be \$25,000. This is, however, a non-binding estimate of costs, and defendant will be jointly and severally liable with co-defendant Carolyn Markell for all repatriation costs.
- iv. The defendant, USAO, and investigating agencies agree to cooperate and to use their best efforts to coordinate the repatriation of the Artifacts. In the event the Artifacts are unable to be transferred due to acts taken or not taken by the country of

origin or by any governmental entity, the USAO and investigating agencies agree to designate, or to work with the governments of the countries of origin to designate, a substitute institution/location to which the defendant agrees to transfer the Artifacts. The USAO agrees that the failure to transfer the Artifacts to the country of origin as a result of acts taken or not taken by the country of origin or by any governmental entity will not be considered a breach of this Agreement.

- i. Defendant agrees to cooperate with the Internal Revenue Service in the determination of defendant's tax liability for years 2004 through 2007. Defendant agrees that:
- i. Defendant will file, prior to the time of sentencing, amended returns for the years subject to the above admissions, correcting improper deductions and credits; will, if requested to do so by the Internal Revenue Service, provide the Internal Revenue Service with information regarding the years covered by the returns; will pay if able, or if not able will enter into a payment schedule with the IRS, at or before sentencing all additional taxes and all penalties and interest assessed by the Internal Revenue Service on the basis of the returns; and will promptly pay all additional taxes and all penalties and interest thereafter determined by the Internal Revenue Service to be owing as a result of any computational errors.
- ii. Nothing in this agreement forecloses or limits the ability of the Internal Revenue Service to examine and make adjustments to defendant's returns after they are filed.
- iii. To the full extent that the IRS has withheld refunds for prior tax years, including years 2004 through the

ATTACHMENT 4

origin or by any governmental entity, the USAO and investigating agencies agree to designate, or to work with the governments of the countries of origin to designate, a substitute institution/location to which the defendant agrees to transfer the Artifacts. The USAO agrees that the failure to transfer the Artifacts to the country of origin as a result of acts taken or not taken by the country of origin or by any governmental entity will not be considered a breach of this Agreement.

- i. Defendant agrees to cooperate with the Internal Revenue Service in the determination of defendant's tax liability for years 2004 through 2007. Defendant agrees that:
- i. Defendant will file, prior to the time of sentencing, amended returns for the years subject to the above admissions, correcting improper deductions and credits; will, if requested to do so by the Internal Revenue Service, provide the Internal Revenue Service with information regarding the years covered by the returns; will pay if able, or if not able will enter into a payment schedule with the IRS, at or before sentencing all additional taxes and all penalties and interest assessed by the Internal Revenue Service on the basis of the returns; and will promptly pay all additional taxes and all penalties and interest thereafter determined by the Internal Revenue Service to be owing as a result of any computational errors.
- ii. Nothing in this agreement forecloses or limits the ability of the Internal Revenue Service to examine and make adjustments to defendant's returns after they are filed.
- iii. To the full extent that the IRS has withheld refunds for prior tax years, including years 2004 through the

present, for reasons related to this criminal investigation, such withheld monies shall be considered and, as appropriate, credited to the calculation of any amounts due and owing pursuant to this agreement. Defendant will not, after filing the returns, file any claim for refund of taxes, penalties, or interest for amounts attributable to the returns filed in connection with this plea agreement.

iv. Defendant gives up any and all objections that could be asserted to the Examination Division of the Internal Revenue Service receiving materials or information obtained during the criminal investigation of this matter, including materials and information obtained through grand jury subpoenas. Defendant and/or his tax representative, shall be entitled to obtain a copy of any such materials from the criminal investigation or grand jury subpoenas that are sent to the Examination Division.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

The USAO agrees to:

- Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, move to dismiss the remaining counts of the indictment as against defendant. Defendant agrees, however, that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider any dismissed charges in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed.
- d. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to